of any benefit to either. So then let us share the burden alike. Travail and tribulation are perhaps our lot, but let us have the consolation of saying that the rich and the poor, north and the south, estate-holder and the labourer in the plains, all share the same ration to the same extent and in the same spirit to the glory of the country.

DEMANDS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY GRANTS.

DEMAND IV—FORESTS.

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AYYAR: Sir, I rise to move for a supplementary grant of Rs 4,200 for meeting the following items :

		Rs.
1.	Providing electric connection to Pallam Plant ...	2,000
2.	Shifting the ascu treatment plant to Shencotta ...	700
3.	The construction of a shed for housing the treatment plant, engine, etc., at Shencotta ...	1,500

The machinery at Pallom has so long been working on petrol. Now petrol is more difficult to obtain and electric current is available close by. It is proposed to shift from the petrol driven engine to electric current motor and hence a provision of Rs. 2,000 is required for the purpose. The advantage is that one department of Government would be paying another Department for the current used and no money will be spent on petrol. In the case of a shed for housing the treatment plant, engine, etc., at Shencotta, there has been only a temporary shed so far. That shed is on the point of collapse. Now we have decided to continue the treatment of poles according to the ascu method. We want to house the machinery in a permanent building. That is the reason for the Demand of Rs. 1,500. The existing plant at Shencotta is beyond the capacity of the present calls for purposes of work and therefore a plant that is lying idle at Trivandrum is proposed to be shifted to Shencotta and it has to be housed within a shed.

MR. M. R. NARAYANA PILLAI: May I know from the member whether there was any reason why the plant was originally installed at Pallom.

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AYYAR: Because there was facility of communication by water from various plantations from which poles had to be taken over to a central place for treating purposes. In that way Pallom was found to be a very convenient place.

PRESIDENT: When was the plant installed at Pallom?

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AYYAR: Somewhere about 1114.

MR. N. NARAYANA KURUP: Sir, I oppose the passing of the Demand.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. N. NARAYANA KURUP: സർ, ഞാൻ ഈ ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനയെ ഒരു തത്വത്തിനുവേണ്ടി എതിർക്കയാണും ചെയ്യുന്നതു്. യുദ്ധം തുടങ്ങിയിട്ടു ഏകദേശം നാലഞ്ചു കൊല്ലം ആയി. പെട്ടോൾ കുറഞ്ഞിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നും കിട്ടാൻ വിഷമമാണെന്നും നമുക്കെല്ലാം നേരത്തെ അറിയാം. ദിവാൻജി അവർകൾ പല അവസരത്തിലും ഇതിനെ പരാമർശിച്ചു പ്രസംഗിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടു് അതുകൊണ്ടു് ഈ ഇലക്ട്രിസിറ്റികൊണ്ടു് നടത്തണം എന്നും അതിനുള്ള സൌകര്യങ്ങൾ ഉണ്ടാക്കണമെന്നും നേരത്തേ ആലോചിക്കാമായിരുന്നു. അങ്ങിനെ ആവശ്യം വരാവുന്ന ഒരു സംഖ്യകൂടി ചേർക്കണമെന്നുണ്ടായിരുന്നെങ്കിൽ ഫ്ലഡ്-ർമാണ്ടത്തെ ബഡ്ജറു തയാറാക്കിയപ്പോൾ എന്തുകൊണ്ടു ഈ തുകകൂടി ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയില്ല എന്നാണു ഞാൻ ചോദിക്കുന്നതു്. അന്നു ഇതിലേക്കാവശ്യമുള്ള തുക ബഡ്ജറിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയിരുന്നെങ്കിൽ, എത്രയോ പ്രധാന വിഷയങ്ങളെക്കുറിച്ചു ചിന്തിക്കാനുള്ള നൂ അവസരത്തിൽ ഇതിനുവേണ്ടി സമയം വ്യയം ചെയ്യേണ്ടിവരുമായിരുന്നില്ല. ഈ സമ്മേളനം ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനയ്ക്കുവേണ്ടി മാത്രം കൂടിയതാണെന്നു തോന്നും. ആവശ്യമെന്നു തോന്നുമ്പോൾ, സർട്ടിഫൈ ചെയ്തു പണം വിനിയോഗിക്കാറുള്ളതുപോലെ, അത്യാവശ്യമെന്നു തോന്നുന്നെങ്കിൽ ഗവണ്മെൻറു് ഈ ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനകൾ അനുവദിച്ചു് അടുത്ത സഭയിൽ അനുവദിപ്പിക്കാമായിരുന്നു. കുറഞ്ഞതു് ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനകൾ, നൂറു മുതൽ രൂപാമുതൽ ഒരു ലക്ഷം വരെ കാണുന്നു. ഏതായാലും ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറു മേലദ്ധ്യക്ഷന്മാരുടെ ആലോചനക്കുറവൊ അനാസ്ഥയൊ കൊണ്ടു്, ഈ ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനകൾ കൂടി ബഡ്ജറിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയില്ല. ഇപ്പോൾ, അതിനുവേണ്ടി സാമാജികരുടെ സഞ്ചാരപ്പടിയിനത്തിൽ ഒരു വലിയ തുക കൂടി ചിലവാക്കേണ്ടിവന്നു. അതിനാൽ ഒരു തത്വത്തിനുവേണ്ടി, ഇന്നത്തെ എല്ലാ ധനാഭ്യർത്ഥനകളെയും വിശേഷിച്ചും ഇതിനേയും ഞാൻ എതിർക്കുന്നു.

SADASYATILAKA T. K. VELU PILLAI: Sir, I wish to say a word. Ordinarily I should not have attempted to speak on this Demand but for one of the reasons put forward by Mr. Kurup. I am definitely emphatically against certification. The Government will be placing themselves under unnecessary difficulties if certification is resorted to. Therefore, Sir, I am extremely happy that this motion for a supplementary Demand has been made on the floor of the House.

I agree with my friend in this that while it was legitimate at the time of the Budget for departmental heads to make proposals with regard to requirements for the next 12 months, it should have been certainly better, more in justice to us, if these grants were included in the general budget. The ascu treatment has been to some of us a mystery. I do not know what is being done with the ascu treated

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

timber. Very often so many disappointments happen. Government are aware of that. I hope, Sir, that ascu treatment will serve a good purpose in procuring good timber. How much is treated for use in Travancore, how much is exported, all these are incidental questions and the shortness of time debar me from making any observation on that. I am glad that the Conservator of Forests had everything to say that hereafter in Pallam there is no need for the treatment plant. Hence it may be shifted to Shencotta. There is the ascu plant and the shed already available and it could do good work there.

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: There seems to be a mistake about the Pallam Plant being shifted to Shencottah. The Plant at Pallom will continue at Pallom. It is only with regard to the supply of electricity that a change is made.

The reason why it was not moved originally was because it was not expected at that time that the electric motor would be available for the purpose. As a matter of fact, it was thought that no motor would be available for some time to come.

SADASYATILAKA T. K. VELU PILLAI: May I correct him, Sir? It is written "i. providing electric connection to Pallom Plant Rs. 2,000 ii. shifting the Ascu Treatment Plant to Shencottah"

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: It is not from Pallom but from Trivandrum.

Now the Electrical Engineer has informed the Forest Department that all the necessary materials for giving connection are available and that is why a supplementary motion is being brought now.

The question that Government be granted a sum of Rs. 4,200 under Demand IV Forests, was put and carried.

The grant was made.

RAO BAHADUR T. V. VENKATESWARA AIYAR: I rise to move for a grant of Rs. 5,600 as an additional allotment required under salaries to the instructors, stipends for trainees, contingencies etc., for the School at Konni for training Forest Guards.

When the original motion was moved during the last budget session, a grant of about Rs. 6,000 was provided for the purpose. That has been found to be insufficient for the main reason that Foresters receive a much higher stipend than Forest Guards. Therefore, it is found that an additional amount of Rs. 5,600 is necessary for continuing the second term of this training centre for the second six months of the year 1119.

MR. N. NARAYANA KURUP: May I know whether it was thought of after the budget session?

PRESIDENT: Generally speaking, we find that in regard to these allowances, travelling allowances and other allowances given to the officers at the budget session have had to be altered as a result of the present situation. All allowances are being revised.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[President.]

The question that Government be granted a sum of Rs. 5,600 under Demand IV—Forests—was put and carried.

The grant was made.

DEMAND XI—GENERAL ADMINISTRATION.

RAJYASEVAPRAVINA G. PARAMESWARAN PILLAI: I move for a supplementary grant of Rs. 1,000 under Demand XI—General Administration—19—G—Public Service Recruitment.

A sum of Rs. 600 was provided under Anchal Charges in the budget of the Public Service Commission Department for 1119. But an expenditure of nearly Rs. 1,000 had to be incurred up to date due to the fact that a special examination was held this year for the recruitment of clerks and clerk-typists. The Public Service Commissioner has asked for the enhancement of the provision under the head for the expenditure mentioned above and also for the conduct of the T. C. S. examination which will be held in the course of the year.

The question that Government be granted a sum of Rs. 1,000 under Demand XI—General Administration—19—G—Public Service Recruitment, was put and carried.

The grant was made.

DEMAND XIV—ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

RAJYASEVAPRAVINA G. PARAMESWARAN PILLAI: Sir, I move for a supplementary grant of Rs. 600 under Budget Head XIV—Administration of Justice.

The District Munsiff's Court at Krishnapuram was abolished in 1115 as there was not sufficient work for a Munsiff's Court at that station and the pakuthies within its jurisdiction were transferred to the jurisdiction of the District Munsiffs' Courts at Mavelikara, Haripad and Karunagapally. Since then certain representations have been received from the inhabitants of Kayamkulam for the re-establishment of the Court which was abolished in 1115. The High Court has reported that on 1-1-1119 the pendency of cases in the two Munsiffs' Courts now working at Mavelikara was about 1089 and that one of the courts at Mavelikara may temporarily be shifted to Kayamkulam to be known as the Krishnapuram Temporary Munsiff's Court. This Court will have a file of nearly 561 suits. The proposal has been placed before the Finance Committee and the Committee was of the view that the matter might be placed before the House for decision.

SADASYATILAKA T. K. VELU PILLAI: May I know from the honourable Member what was the number of cases in the Krishnapuram Munsiff's Court when it was abolished?

RAJYASEVAPRAVINA G. PARAMESWARAN PILLAI: I find from the Report of the High Court received at the time it was abolished, that it was nearly 500 cases. It was not considered to be sufficient work for the Court because of the existence of two or three courts in the vicinity.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly